Absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory

Fangzhou Jin
joint work with F. Deglise, J. Fasel and A. Khan

October 8, 2020

Table of contents

The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Expose I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if $i : Z \to X$ is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension c, $n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\ = \mathbb{Z}{=}n\mathbb{Z}$, then the etale cohomology sheaf supported in Z with values in   can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}^q_Z(X_{\text{et}};\ \ ) = \begin{cases} i \quad _Z(-c) & \text{if } q = 2c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Expose I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if $i : Z \to X$ is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension c, $n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $= \mathbb{Z}=n\mathbb{Z}$, then the etale cohomology sheaf supported in Z with values in can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}^q_Z(X_{\text{et}}; \quad) = \begin{cases} i \quad {}_Z(-c) & \text{if } q = 2c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In other words, $i^! \quad {}_X = \quad {}_Z(-c)[-2c]$.

The absolute purity conjecture

Grothendieck's **absolute (cohomological) purity conjecture** (SGA5, Expose I 3.1.4) is the following statement: if $i : Z \to X$ is a closed immersion between noetherian regular schemes of pure codimension c, $n \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ and $\ = \mathbb{Z}=n\mathbb{Z}$, then the etale cohomology sheaf supported in Z with values in can be computed as

$$\mathcal{H}^q_Z(X_{\mathrm{et}};\ \ ) = \begin{cases} i \quad {}_Z(-c) & \text{if } q = 2c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

In other words, $i^! \ \ {}_X = \ \ {}_Z(-c)[-2c]$.
This conjecture has been solved by Gabber.

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where $\dim X \leqslant 2$

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a eld
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where $\dim X \leqslant 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to $\dim X + 2$

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a eld
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where $\dim X \leqslant 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to $\dim X + 2$

  Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of etale K-theory

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a field
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where $\dim X \leqslant 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to $\dim X + 2$

  Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of etale K-theory
- Gabber(1986): general case (written by Fujiwara)

A short history of the proof

- SGA4: case where both X and Z are smooth over a eld
- Popescu: equal characteristic case
- Gabber(1976): case where $\dim X \leqslant 2$
- Thomason(1984): case where all prime divisors of n are greater or equal to $\dim X + 2$

  Uses Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence of etale K-theory
- Gabber(1986): general case (written by Fujiwara)

  Based on Thomason's method + rigidity for algebraic K-theory

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of etale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of etale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:
  S a regular scheme, $n \in \mathcal{O}(S)$ and $= \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $f : X \to S$ a separated morphism of nite type, then $f^{!} \ _S$ is a dualizing object, i.e. $\mathbb{D}_{X/S} := R\underline{Hom}(\cdot, f^{!} \ _S)$ satis es $D \circ D = \mathrm{Id}$.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of etale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*: S a regular scheme, $n \in \mathcal{O}(S)$ and $\quad = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, $f : X \to S$ a separated morphism of nite type, then $f^! \quad _S$ is a dualizing object, i.e. $\mathbb{D}_{X/S} := R\underline{Hom}(\cdot, f^! \quad _S)$ satis es $D \circ D = \mathrm{Id}$.
- Construct Gysin morphisms and establish intersection theory.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

The absolute purity property, together with resolution of singularities, is frequently used in cohomological studies of schemes:

- Show that the six functors on the derived category of etale sheaves preserve constructible objects.
- Prove the *Grothendieck-Verdier local duality*:
  S a regular scheme, $n \in \mathcal{O}(S)$ and $\quad = \mathbb{Z}=n\mathbb{Z}$, $f : X \to S$ a separated morphism of nite type, then $f^! \quad_S$ is a dualizing object, i.e. $\mathbb{D}_{X/S} := R\underline{Hom}(\cdot; f^! \quad_S)$ satis es $D \circ D = \mathrm{Id}$.
- Construct Gysin morphisms and establish intersection theory.
- Study the coniveau spectral sequence.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...
- Our work: study absolute purity in the framework of motivic homotopy theory.

Importance of the absolute purity conjecture

- The study of these problems has lead to a great number of new methods: Deligne, Verdier, Bloch-Ogus, Gabber, Fulton, ...
- Our work: study absolute purity in the framework of motivic homotopy theory.
- Main result: the absolute purity in motivic homotopy theory is satis ed with rational coe cients in mixed characteristic.

Motivic homotopy theory

- The motivic homotopy theory or $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy theory is introduced by Morel and Voevodsky (1998) as a framework to study cohomology theories in algebraic geometry, by importing tools from algebraic topology
- Idea: use the a ne line $\mathbb{A}^1$ as a substitute of the unit interval to get an algebraic version of the homotopy theory
- Can be used to study cohomology theories such as algebraic K-theory, Chow groups (motivic cohomology) and many others
- Advantage: has many a lot of structures coming from both topological and algebraic geometrical sides

Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classi cation of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory

Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classi cation of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- K-theory and hermitian K-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)

Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classi cation of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- K-theory and hermitian K-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)

Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classi cation of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- K-theory and hermitian K-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)

Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classi cation of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- K-theory and hermitian K-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)
- $\mathbb{A}^1$-enumerative geometry (Hoyois, Levine, Kass-Wickelgren)

Aspects of applications in various domains

- Part of Voevodsky's proof of the Bloch-Kato conjecture uses the classi cation of cohomological operations that can be studied by means of motivic homotopy theory
- K-theory and hermitian K-theory (Riou, Cisinski, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel, Schlichting-Tripathi)
- Euler classes and splitting vector bundles (Murthy, Barge-Morel, Asok-Fasel)
- Computations of homotopy groups of spheres (Isaksen, Wang, Xu)
- $\mathbb{A}^1$-enumerative geometry (Hoyois, Levine, Kass-Wickelgren)
- Non-commutative geometry and singularity categories (Tabuada, Blanc-Robalo-Toen-Vezzosi)

Some topological background

- A **spectrum** $\mathbb{E}$ is a sequence $(E_n)_{n2\mathbb{N}}$ of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps $_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$ called **suspension maps**

Some topological background

- A **spectrum** $\mathbb{E}$ is a sequence $(E_n)_{n2\mathbb{N}}$ of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps $_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$ called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps

Some topological background

- A **spectrum** $\mathbb{E}$ is a sequence $(E_n)_{n2\mathbb{N}}$ of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps $_n : S^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$ called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- **Stable homotopy groups**:

$$_n(E) = \operatorname*{colim}_i \; _{n+i}(E_i)$$

Some topological background

- A **spectrum** $\mathbb{E}$ is a sequence $(E_n)_{n2\mathbb{N}}$ of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps $\ _n : S^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$ called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- **Stable homotopy groups**:

$$\ _n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \ _{n+i}(E_i)$$

- The theory stems from the Freudenthal suspension theorem: if $E_i = X \wedge S^i$ for some $X \in Top$ (i.e. E is the **suspension spectrum** of X), then the sequence $i \mapsto \ _{n+i}(E_i)$ stabilizes

Some topological background

- A **spectrum** $\mathbb{E}$ is a sequence $(E_n)_{n2\mathbb{N}}$ of pointed spaces (e.g. CW-complexes or simplicial sets) together with continuous maps $\ _n : S^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$ called **suspension maps**
- A **morphism of spectra** is a sequence of continuous maps on each degree which commutes with suspension maps
- **Stable homotopy groups**:

$$\ _n(E) = \operatorname{colim}_i \ _{n+i}(E_i)$$

- The theory stems from the Freudenthal suspension theorem: if $E_i = X \wedge S^i$ for some $X \in Top$ (i.e. E is the **suspension spectrum** of X), then the sequence $i \mapsto \ _{n+i}(E_i)$ stabilizes
- A morphism of spectra is a **stable weak equivalence** if it

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is de ned from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is de ned from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by S^1-suspension

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is de ned from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by S^1-suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X; \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathbf{SH}_{top}}$$

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is de ned from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by S^1-suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X, \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathbf{SH}_{top}}$$

- Examples: Suspension spectra $^{1} X$ for $X \in Top$, in particular sphere spectrum S ; HA Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for a ring A; MU complex cobordism spectrum

Stable homotopy category

- The (topological) stable homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is de ned from spectra by inverting stable weak equivalences
- $\mathbf{SH}_{top}$ is a **triangulated category**, with shift given by S^1-suspension
- Every object represents a cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^n(X) = [X, \mathbb{E} \wedge S^n]_{\mathbf{SH}_{top}}$$

- Examples: Suspension spectra $^1 X$ for $X \in Top$, in particular sphere spectrum S ; HA Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum for a ring A; MU complex cobordism spectrum
- From an ∞-categorical point of view, the category of spectra is the stabilization of the category of spaces, and is the universal stable (triangulated) category

The unstable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth S-schemes Sm_S

The unstable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth S-schemes Sm_S
- The **(pointed) unstable motivic homotopy category** $\mathbf{H}(S)$ ($\mathbf{H}\ (S)$) is obtained from (pointed) motivic spaces by localizing with respect to the Nisnevich topology and projections of the form $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y$

The unstable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic space** is a presheaf of simplicial sets over the category of smooth S-schemes Sm_S
- The **(pointed) unstable motivic homotopy category** $\mathbf{H}(S)$ ($\mathbf{H}\ (S)$) is obtained from (pointed) motivic spaces by localizing with respect to the Nisnevich topology and projections of the form $Y \times \mathbb{A}^1 \to Y$
- Bigraded $\mathbb{A}^1$-**homotopy sheaves**: for $X \in \mathbf{H}\ (S)$, $\ ^{\mathbb{A}^1}_{a,b}(X)$ is the Nisnevich sheaf on Sm_S associated to the presheaf

$$U \mapsto [U \wedge S^{a \quad b} \wedge \mathbb{G}_m^b; X]_{\mathbf{H}_\bullet(S)}$$

The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic spectrum** or $\mathbb{P}^1$-**spectrum** is a sequence $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n\geqslant 0}$ of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms $\;_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$

The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic spectrum** or $\mathbb{P}^1$-**spectrum** is a sequence $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms $\ _n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category SH**(S) is de ned from $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences

The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic spectrum** or $\mathbb{P}^1$-**spectrum** is a sequence $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms $\ _n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category SH**(S) is de ned from $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$

The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic spectrum** or $\mathbb{P}^1$**-spectrum** is a sequence $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms $\ _n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category SH**(S) is de ned from $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$
- **SH**(S) is triangulated by S^1-suspension

The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic spectrum** or $\mathbb{P}^1$-**spectrum** is a sequence $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms ${}_n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(S)$** is de ned from $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$
- $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ is triangulated by S^1-suspension
- In the classical notation, $S^1 = \mathbb{1}[1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{1}(1)[1]$

The stable motivic homotopy category

- For any scheme S, a **motivic spectrum** or $\mathbb{P}^1$-**spectrum** is a sequence $\mathbb{E} = (E_n)_{n \geqslant 0}$ of pointed motivic spaces together with morphisms $\ _n : \mathbb{P}^1 \wedge E_n \to E_{n+1}$
- A morphism of motivic spectra is a **stable motivic weak equivalence** if it induces isomorphisms on $\mathbb{A}^1$-homotopy sheaves
- The **stable motivic homotopy category SH**(S) is defined from $\mathbb{P}^1$-spectra by inverting stable motivic weak equivalences
- Two spheres: $\mathbb{P}^1 \sim_{\mathbb{A}^1} S^1 \wedge \mathbb{G}_m$
- **SH**(S) is triangulated by S^1-suspension
- In the classical notation, $S^1 = \mathbb{1}[1]$ and $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{1}(1)[1]$
- **SH**(S) is the universal stable ∞-category which satisfies Nisnevich descent and $\mathbb{A}^1$-invariance (Robalo, Drew-Gallauer)

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p \quad q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p\quad q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL**, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p \quad q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL**, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL**, represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p-q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL**, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL**, represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)
- Hermitian K-theory spectrum **KQ** represents higher Grothendieck-Witt groups (Schlichting, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel)

Motivic spectra

Every object in $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ represents a bigraded cohomology theory

$$\mathbb{E}^{p,q}(U) = [U; (S^1)^{\wedge(p \quad q)} \wedge (\mathbb{G}_m)^{\wedge q} \wedge \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- Motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, represents motivic cohomology (extend Chow groups for smooth schemes)
- Algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL**, represents homotopy K-theory (Voevodsky, Riou)
- Algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL**, represents algebraic cobordism (Levine-Morel)
- Hermitian K-theory spectrum **KQ** represents higher Grothendieck-Witt groups (Schlichting, Panin-Walter, Hornbostel)
- Milnor-Witt spectrum $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$ represents Milnor-Witt motivic cohomology/higher Chow-Witt groups (Deglise-Fasel)

The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_S = {}^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1} S_+$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ de ned by $\otimes = \wedge$

The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_S = \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{P}^1} S_+$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ defined by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology

The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_S = {}^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1} S_+$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ de ned by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k eld, then $_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf

The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_S = \ {}^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1} S_+$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ de ned by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k eld, then $\ _{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular, $End(\mathbb{1}_k)_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq GW(k)$ is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over k

The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_S = {}^{1}_{\mathbb{P}^1}S_+$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ de ned by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k eld, then ${}_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular, $End(\mathbb{1}_k)_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq GW(k)$ is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over k
- This leads to the theory of $\mathbb{A}^1$-enumerative geometry

The sphere spectrum

- The sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_S = \Sigma^\infty_{\mathbb{P}^1} S_+$ is the unit object for the monoidal structure on $\mathbf{SH}(S)$ defined by $\otimes = \wedge$
- Its stable homotopy groups/sheaves are hard to compute, and are related to the open problem of computing stable homotopy groups of spheres in topology
- Morel's theorem: k field, then $\pi_{n,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \simeq K_n^{MW}$ is the Milnor-Witt K-theory sheaf
- In particular, $End(\mathbb{1}_k)_{\mathbf{SH}(k)} \simeq GW(k)$ is the Grothendieck-Witt groups of symmetric bilinear forms over k
- This leads to the theory of $\mathbb{A}^1$-enumerative geometry
- The 1-line is also computed (Röndigs-Spitzweck-Østvær):

$$0 \to K^M_{2-n}/24 \to \pi_{n+1,n}(\mathbb{1}_k) \to \pi_{n+1,n} f_0(\mathbf{KQ})$$

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for l-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for l-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise
- For any morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f \quad : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(X) : f$$

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for l-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise
- For any morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f \quad : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(X) : f$$

For any separated morphism of nite type $f : X \to Y$, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_! : \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for l-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise
- For any morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f \quad : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(X) : f$$

For any separated morphism of nite type $f : X \to Y$, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_! : \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

There is also a pair $(\otimes; \underline{Hom})$ of adjoint functors inducing a closed symmetric monoidal structure on **SH**

The six functors formalism

- Originates from Grothendieck's theory for l-adic sheaves (SGA4), and worked out in the motivic setting by Ayoub and Cisinski-Deglise
- For any morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$, there is a pair of adjoint functors

$$f \quad : \mathbf{SH}(Y) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(X) : f$$

For any separated morphism of nite type $f : X \to Y$, there is an additional pair of adjoint functors

$$f_! : \mathbf{SH}(X) \rightleftharpoons \mathbf{SH}(Y) : f^!$$

There is also a pair ($\otimes$; *Hom*) of adjoint functors inducing a closed symmetric monoidal structure on **SH**

- They satisfy formal properties axiomatizing important theorems such as duality, base change and localization.

Thom spaces and relative purity

- If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}\ (X)$ is the pointed motivic space $V/V - 0$

Thom spaces and relative purity

Thom spaces and relative purity

- If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}\ (X)$ is the pointed motivic space $V{=}V - 0$
- This construction passes through $\mathbb{P}^1$-stabilization and de nes a $\otimes$-invertible object in $\mathbf{SH}(X)$, and the map $V \mapsto Th(V)$ extends to a map $K_0(X) \to \mathbf{SH}(X)$
- Relative purity (Ayoub): $f : X \to Y$ smooth morphism with tangent bundle T_f, then $f^! \simeq Th(T_f) \otimes f$

Thom spaces and relative purity

- If $V \to X$ is a vector bundle, then the **Thom space** $Th_X(V) \in \mathbf{H}\ (X)$ is the pointed motivic space $V{=}V - 0$
- This construction passes through $\mathbb{P}^1$-stabilization and de nes a $\otimes$-invertible object in $\mathbf{SH}(X)$, and the map $V \mapsto Th(V)$ extends to a map $K_0(X) \to \mathbf{SH}(X)$
- Relative purity (Ayoub): $f : X \to Y$ smooth morphism with tangent bundle T_f, then $f^! \simeq Th(T_f) \otimes f$
- In the presence of an *orientation*, we recover the usual relative purity

Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$ for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms $f\ \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$ for every morphism $f : Y \to X$

Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$ for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms $f\ \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$ for every morphism $f : Y \to X$
- Examples: $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, **KGL**, **MGL**, **KQ**, $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$

Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$ for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms $f \ \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$ for every morphism $f : Y \to X$
- Examples: $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, **KGL**, **MGL**, **KQ**, $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of $\mathbb{E}$ is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products

Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$ for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms $f \ \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$ for every morphism $f : Y \to X$
- Examples: $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{MGL}$, $\mathbf{KQ}$, $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of $\mathbb{E}$ is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories

Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$ for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms $f \;\ \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$ for every morphism $f : Y \to X$
- Examples: $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{MGL}$, $\mathbf{KQ}$, $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of $\mathbb{E}$ is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories
- Examples: $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{MGL}$, or the spectrum representing etale cohomology

Orientations

- An **absolute motivic spectrum** is the data of $\mathbb{E}_X \in \mathbf{SH}(X)$ for every scheme X, together with natural isomorphisms $f\ \mathbb{E}_X \simeq \mathbb{E}_Y$ for every morphism $f : Y \to X$
- Examples: $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{MGL}$, $\mathbf{KQ}$, $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$
- An **orientation** of $\mathbb{E}$ is the data of isomorphisms $E_X \otimes Th_X(V) \simeq E_X(r)[2r]$ for all vector bundles $V \to X$ of rank r, which is compatible with pullbacks and products
- This is equivalent to the existence of Chern classes in the sense of oriented cohomology theories
- Examples: $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, $\mathbf{KGL}$, $\mathbf{MGL}$, or the spectrum representing etale cohomology
- Non-examples: $\mathbb{1}$, $\mathbf{KQ}$, $\mathbf{H}_{MW}\mathbb{Z}$

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)
- A theory of *fundamental classes* aims at establishing a cohomological intersection theory

Orientations and fundamental classes

- The algebraic cobordism spectrum **MGL** is the universal oriented absolute spectrum
- With an orientation, we have an associated formal group law, as well as many extra properties such as projective bundle formula or double point formula (Levine-Pandharipande)
- A theory of *fundamental classes* aims at establishing a cohomological intersection theory
- For oriented spectra, Deglise de ned fundamental classes using Chern classes

Bivariant groups

- For $f: X \to S$ be a separated morphism of finite type, $v \in K_0(X)$ and $\mathbb{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$, define the $\mathbb{E}$-**bivariant groups** (or **Borel-Moore** $\mathbb{E}$**-homology**) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X/S, v) = [f_! Th(v)[n], \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

Bivariant groups

- For $f : X \to S$ be a separated morphism of finite type, $v \in K_0(X)$ and $\mathbb{E} \in \mathbf{SH}(S)$, define the $\mathbb{E}$-**bivariant groups** (or **Borel-Moore** $\mathbb{E}$-**homology**) as

$$\mathbb{E}_n(X/S, v) = [f_! Th(v)[n], \mathbb{E}]_{\mathbf{SH}(S)}$$

- If S is a field and $\mathbb{E} = \mathbf{H}\mathbb{Z}$, then $\mathbb{E}_i(X/S, v) = CH_r(X, i)$ are the higher Chow groups, where r is the virtual rank of v

Functoriality of bivariant groups

- Base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

$$: \mathbb{E}_n(T{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}X; g \;\; v)$$

Functoriality of bivariant groups

- Base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

$$: \mathbb{E}_n(T{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}X; g \;\; v)$$

- Proper push-forward: $f : X \to Y$ proper

$$f \;\; : \mathbb{E}_n(X{=}S; f \;\; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}S; v)$$

Functoriality of bivariant groups

- Base change:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

$$: \mathbb{E}_n(T{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}X; g \;\; v)$$

- Proper push-forward: $f : X \to Y$ proper

$$f \;\; : \mathbb{E}_n(X{=}S; f \;\; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}S; v)$$

- Product: if $\mathbb{E}$ has a ring structure, $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} S$

$$\mathbb{E}_m(X{=}Y; w) \otimes \mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_{m+n}(X{=}S; w + f \;\; v)$$

The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism

The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle* ${}_f \in K_0(X)$, which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f

The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle* $_f \in K_0(X)$, which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f
- 3 equivalent formulations:
  - **purity transformation** $f^* \otimes \mathrm{Th}(_f) \to f^!$
  - **fundamental class** $_f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X{=}Y; _f)$
  - **Gysin morphisms** $\mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(X{=}S; _f + f^* v)$

  all compatible with compositions

The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle* $_f \in K_0(X)$, which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f
- 3 equivalent formulations:
  - **purity transformation** $f^* \otimes \mathrm{Th}(_f) \to f^!$
  - **fundamental class** $_f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X{=}Y; _f)$
  - **Gysin morphisms** $\mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(X{=}S; _f + f^*v)$

  all compatible with compositions
- Morally, these operations contain the information of \intersecting cycles over X with Y"

The fundamental class (Deglise-J.-Khan)

- We say that a morphism of schemes $f : X \to Y$ is *local complete intersection* (lci) if it factors as a regular closed immersion followed by a smooth morphism
- To such a morphism is associated a *virtual tangent bundle* $_f \in K_0(X)$, which agrees with the class of the cotangent complex of f
- 3 equivalent formulations:
  - **purity transformation** $f^* \otimes \mathrm{Th}(_f) \to f^!$
  - **fundamental class** $_f \in \mathbb{E}_0(X{=}Y;\ _f)$
  - **Gysin morphisms** $\mathbb{E}_n(Y{=}S; v) \to \mathbb{E}_n(X{=}S;\ _f + f^* v)$

  all compatible with compositions
- Morally, these operations contain the information of \intersecting cycles over X with Y"
- The construction uses the *deformation to the normal cone*

Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The **Euler class** of a vector bundle $V \to X$ is the map $e(V) : \mathbb{1}_X \to Th(V)$ induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles

Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The **Euler class** of a vector bundle $V \to X$ is the map $e(V) : \mathbb{1}_X \to Th(V)$ induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles
- **Excess intersection formula**: for a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g\downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

where p and q are lci, we have $\ _p = \ _q \cdot e(\ )$, where is the *excess bundle*

Euler class and excess intersection formula

- The **Euler class** of a vector bundle $V \to X$ is the map $e(V) : \mathbb{1}_X \to Th(V)$ induced by the zero section seen as a monomorphism of vector bundles
- **Excess intersection formula**: for a Cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{q} & X \\ g \downarrow & \Delta & \downarrow f \\ T & \xrightarrow{p} & S \end{array}$$

  where p and q are lci, we have $\quad p = \quad q \cdot e(\ )$, where is the *excess bundle*
- **Motivic Gauss-Bonnet formula** (Levine, Deglise-J.-Khan) For $p : X \to S$ a smooth and proper morphism

$$(X{=}S) = p \;\; e(T_p)$$

  where $\;(X{=}S)$ is the *categorical Euler characteristic*

The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ satis es **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ between regular schemes, the purity transformation $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \mathrm{Th}(\ _f) \to f^!\mathbb{E}_X$ is an isomorphism

The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ satisfies **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ between regular schemes, the purity transformation $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \mathrm{Th}(\ _f) \to f^!\mathbb{E}_X$ is an isomorphism
- Example: the algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL** satisfies absolute purity because K-theory satisfies localization property (also called devissage, due to Quillen)

$$K(Z) \to K(X) \to K(X - Z)$$

The absolute purity property

- We say that an absolute spectrum $\mathbb{E}$ satis es **absolute purity** if for any closed immersion $i : Z \to X$ between regular schemes, the purity transformation $\mathbb{E}_Z \otimes \mathrm{Th}(\ _f) \to f^!\mathbb{E}_X$ is an isomorphism
- Example: the algebraic K-theory spectrum **KGL** satis es absolute purity because K-theory satis es localization property (also called devissage, due to Quillen)

$$K(Z) \to K(X) \to K(X - Z)$$

- From this property Cisinski-Deglise deduce that the rational motivic Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$ also satis es absolute purity, mainly because $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$ is a direct summand of $\mathbf{KGL}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ by the Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch theorem

The Main result

Theorem (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satis es absolute purity.

The Main result

Theorem (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satis es absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The \switching factors" endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of the plus-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ and the minus-part $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ (Morel)

The Main result

Theorem (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satisfies absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The "switching factors" endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of the plus-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ and the minus-part $\mathbb{1}_{-,\mathbb{Q}}$ (Morel)
- The +-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ agrees with $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$ (Cisinski-Deglise)

The Main result

Theorem (Deglise-Fasel-J.-Khan):
The rational sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ satis es absolute purity.

First reductions:

- The \switching factors" endomorphism of $\mathbb{P}^1 \wedge \mathbb{P}^1$ induces a decomposition of the sphere spectrum $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into the direct sum of the plus-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ and the minus-part $\mathbb{1}_{\ ,\mathbb{Q}}$ (Morel)
- The $+$-part $\mathbb{1}_{+,\mathbb{Q}}$ agrees with $\mathbf{H}\mathbb{Q}$ (Cisinski-Deglise)
- Therefore it su ces to show that the minus part satis es aboslute purity

The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of **KGL**, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum **KQ** satisfies aboslute purity

The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of **KGL**, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum **KQ** satisfies aboslute purity
- Similar to the Chern character, the **Borel character** (defined by Deglise-Fasel) induces a decomposition of $\mathbf{KQ}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathbb{1}\ _{,\mathbb{Q}}$ can be identified as a direct summand

The first proof

- By a devissage theorem of Schlichting and an argument similar to the case of **KGL**, one can show that the Hermitian K-theory spectrum **KQ** satisfies aboslute purity
- Similar to the Chern character, the **Borel character** (defined by Deglise-Fasel) induces a decomposition of $\mathbf{KQ}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, where $\mathbb{1}_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ can be identified as a direct summand
- This proves the absolute purity of $\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ when 2 is invertible on the base scheme, since **KQ** is only well-defined in this case

The second proof

- For every scheme X, denote by $\ _X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$

The second proof

- For every scheme X, denote by $_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- **Key lemma**: the functor $_X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})_{,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{SH}(X)_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ is an equivalence of categories

The second proof

- For every scheme X, denote by $\ _X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- **Key lemma**: the functor $\ _X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})\ _{,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{SH}(X)\ _{,\mathbb{Q}}$ is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that X is the spectrum of a eld, because the family of functors $i_x^!$ for $i_x : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \to X$ for all points x of X is jointly conservative, i.e. re ects isomorphisms

The second proof

- For every scheme X, denote by $_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- **Key lemma**: the functor $_X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})_{,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{SH}(X)_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that X is the spectrum of a eld, because the family of functors $i_x^!$ for $i_x : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \to X$ for all points x of X is jointly conservative, i.e. re ects isomorphisms
- For X a eld of characteristic zero, $_X$ is automatically an isomorphism; for X a eld of positive characteristic, $\mathbf{SH}(X)_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes by a theorem of Morel/Bachmann

The second proof

- For every scheme X, denote by $_X : X_{\mathbb{Q}} = X \times_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \to X$
- **Key lemma**: the functor $_X : \mathbf{SH}(X_{\mathbb{Q}})_{,\mathbb{Q}} \to \mathbf{SH}(X)_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ is an equivalence of categories
- We may assume that X is the spectrum of a eld, because the family of functors $i_x^!$ for $i_x : \mathrm{Spec}(k(x)) \to X$ for all points x of X is jointly conservative, i.e. re ects isomorphisms
- For X a eld of characteristic zero, $_X$ is automatically an isomorphism; for X a eld of positive characteristic, $\mathbf{SH}(X)_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ vanishes by a theorem of Morel/Bachmann
- The key lemma then reduces the absolute purity of $\mathbb{1}_{,\mathbb{Q}}$ in mixed characteristic to the case of $\mathbb{Q}$-schemes, which can be proved using Popescu's theorem: a closed immersion of a ne regular schemes over a perfect eld is a limit of closed immersions of smooth schemes

Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected

Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique) SL-orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant

Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique) SL-orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant
- The rational bivariant groups $H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X/S; v)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ agree with the rational Chow-Witt groups, and can be computed by the Gersten complex associated to Milnor-Witt K-theory

Some applications

- Our method can be used to deduce the following new results in mixed characteristic:
  - The six functors preserve constructible objects in the rational stable motivic homotopy category $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The Grothendieck-Verdier duality holds for $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$
  - The homotopy t-structure on $\mathbf{SH}(\cdot;\mathbb{Q})$ behaves as expected
- The rational stable motivic homotopy category has a (unique) SL-orientation, that is, the Thom space of a vector bundle only depends on its rank and its determinant
- The rational bivariant groups $H_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(X/S;v)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ agree with the rational Chow-Witt groups, and can be computed by the Gersten complex associated to Milnor-Witt K-theory
- Related work: absolute purity of the sphere spectrum over a Dedekind domain (Frankland-Nguyen-Spitzweck, work in progress)

Thank you!